

**W^* -DERIVED SETS OF TRANSFINITE ORDER OF SUBSPACES
OF DUAL BANACH SPACES**

Let X be a separable Banach space and X^* be its dual space. If Γ is a linear (not necessarily closed) subspace of X^* , then the w^* -derived set of Γ , denoted $\Gamma_{(1)}$, is the set of all limits of w^* -convergent sequences in Γ . Generally speaking, $\Gamma_{(1)}$ may be non-closed in w^* -topology [1]. This was a reason to S.Banach to introduce [2, p. 213] derived sets of other orders, including transfinite ones. Their inductive definition is the following. The w^* -derived set of order α is the set

$$\Gamma_{(\alpha)} = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} ((\Gamma_{(\beta)})_{(1)}).$$

We have $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_{(\beta)} \subset \Gamma_{(\alpha)}$ for $\beta < \alpha$ and if $\Gamma_{(\alpha)} = \Gamma_{(\alpha+1)}$ then all subsequent derived sets are equal to each other. In [2, p. 209–213; 3–7] it was proved that for some separable Banach spaces there exist subspaces in their duals for which the chains of different w^* -derived sets is long in certain sense (see Commentary). We shall also consider only separable spaces.

Let us recall that Banach space X is called *quasireflexive* if $\dim(X^{**}/\pi(X)) < \infty$, where $\pi : X \rightarrow X^{**}$ is the canonical embedding.

THEOREM. Let X be a nonquasireflexive separable Banach space. Then for every countable ordinal α there is a linear subspace $\Gamma \subset X^*$ for which $\Gamma_{(\alpha)} \neq \Gamma_{(\alpha+1)} = X^*$.

Remarks. Let X be a separable Banach space. Then for every subspace $\Gamma \subset X^*$ there exists a countable ordinal α for which $\Gamma_{(\alpha)} = \Gamma_{(\alpha+1)}$ [8, p. 50]. In [6] it is proved that the length of the chain of different w^* -derived sets cannot be equal to a limit ordinal. From [2, p. 213] and well-known properties of quasireflexive spaces (see [8, Chapter 4]) it follows that if X is a quasireflexive separable Banach space then for every subspace $\Gamma \subset X^*$ we have $\Gamma_{(1)} = \Gamma_{(2)}$. Hence our result is the best possible for separable Banach spaces.

Lemma 1. Let X be a separable Banach space, Y be its subspace, $\xi : Y \rightarrow X$ be the operator of the identical embedding, and Γ be a subspace of Y^* . Then for every ordinal α we have $(\xi^*)^{-1}(\Gamma_{(\alpha)}) = ((\xi^*)^{-1}\Gamma)_{(\alpha)}$.

Proof. The inclusion $(\xi^*)^{-1}(\Gamma_{(\alpha)}) \subset ((\xi^*)^{-1}\Gamma)_{(\alpha)}$ follows by the w^* -continuity of the operator ξ^* . In order to prove the inverse inclusion it is sufficient to show that for every sequence $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset Y^*$ with $w^* - \lim f_i = f$ and any $g \in (\xi^*)^{-1}(\{f\})$ there exist $g_i \in (\xi^*)^{-1}(\{f_i\})$ such that $w^* - \lim g_i = g$. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence for which $e_i \in (\xi^*)^{-1}(\{f_i\})$ and $\|e_i\| = \|f_i\|$. Then the sequence $\{e_i - g\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a bounded sequence of X^* and all its limit points are in $(\xi^*)^{-1}(\{0\})$. Weak* topology is metrizable on bounded subsets of the dual of separable Banach space. Therefore there exists a sequence $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset (\xi^*)^{-1}(\{0\})$ for which $w^* - \lim(e_i - g - h_i) = 0$. It is clear that the vectors $g_i = e_i - h_i (i \in \mathbb{N})$ forms the desired sequence.

Proof of the theorem. We need the following fact [9]: every nonquasireflexive Banach space X contains a bounded away from 0 basic sequence $\{z_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ for which $\|z_n\| \leq 1$ and the set

$$\{ \|\sum_{i=j}^k z_{i(i+1)/2+j}\| \}_{j=0, k=j}^{\infty, \infty}$$

is bounded. Let us denote by Z the closure of the linear span of the sequence $\{z_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset X$. In order to prove the theorem it is sufficient by Lemma 1 to find a subspace $\Gamma \subset Z^*$ for which $\Gamma_{(\alpha)} \neq \Gamma_{(\alpha+1)} = Z^*$.

Let us introduce some notations. We will write z_i^j for $z_{(j+i-1)(j+i)/2+j}$, biorthogonal functionals of the system $z_n(z_i^j)$ will be denoted by $\tilde{z}_n(\tilde{z}_i^j)$. By abovementioned result from [9] we have

$$\sup_{j,m} \|\sum_{i=1}^m z_i^j\| = M_1 < \infty.$$

Therefore for every $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ the sequence $\{\sum_{i=1}^m z_i^j\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ has at least one w^* -limit point in Z^{**} . Let us for every $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ choose one of such limit points and denote it by f_j . It is clear that $\|f_j\| \leq M_1$.

Lemma 2. For every vector $g_0 \in Z^{**}$ of the form $af_j + z_s^r$ ($a > 0, r \neq j$), every countable ordinal α and every infinite subset $A \subset \mathbf{N}$ such that $j, r \notin A$ there exists a countable subset $\Omega(g_0, \alpha, A) \subset Z^{**}$ such that

1) The set $K(g_0, \alpha, A)$ defined by $K(g_0, \alpha, A) = (\cap \{\ker h : h \in \Omega(g_0, \alpha, A)\})$ satisfies the condition: $(K(g_0, \alpha, A))_{(\alpha)} \subset \ker g_0$.

2) All vectors $h \in \Omega(g_0, \alpha, A)$ are of the form $h = a(h)f_{j(h)} + z_{s(h)}^{r(h)}$ with $j(h), r(h) \in A \cup \{j, r\}$, $a(h) > 0$, and if we have $j(h) = r$ or $r(h) = r$ then $h = g_0$.

3) Every finite linear combination of vectors $\{\tilde{z}_n\}$ which is of the form

$$b\tilde{z}_s^r + u; u \in \text{lin}(\{\tilde{z}_k^t\}_{k=1, t \in A \cup \{j\}}^{\infty}) \quad (1)$$

must be in $(Q(b, g_0, \alpha, A))_{(\alpha)}$ (where $Q(b, g_0, \alpha, A)$ is the set of all linear combinations of the type (1) which are in $K(g_0, \alpha, A)$) if it is in $\ker g_0$. (Here we need to remark that the definition of the w^* -derived sets for subsets which are not subspaces is the same.)

At first we will finish the proof of the theorem with the help of lemma 2. From 1) it follows that $(K(g_0, \alpha, A))_{(\alpha)} \neq Z^*$. Let us show that 3) implies $(K(g_0, \alpha, A))_{(\alpha+1)} = Z^*$. Let us recall that $\{z_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a basis in Z , therefore $\{\tilde{z}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a w^* -Schauder basis in Z^* [10, p. 155], i.e. every vector $\tilde{z} \in Z^*$ can be represented as $\tilde{z} = w^* - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \tilde{z}_k$, where $a_k = \tilde{z}(z_k)$.

It is clear that vectors $\tilde{y}_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \tilde{z}_k - g_0(\sum_{k=0}^n a_k \tilde{z}_k) \tilde{z}_n^j / a$ can be represented in form $\tilde{y}_n^1 + \tilde{y}_n^2$ where $\tilde{y}_n^1$ is of type (1) and is in $\ker g_0$, and $\tilde{y}_n^2$ is a finite linear combination of the vectors $\{\tilde{z}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \setminus (\{\tilde{z}_k^t\}_{k=1, t \in A \cup \{j\}}^{\infty} \cup \{\tilde{z}_s^r\})$, and, hence, $\tilde{y}_n^2 \in K(g_0, \alpha, A)$. By 3) we have $\tilde{y}_n^1 \in (K(g_0, \alpha, A))_{(\alpha)}$. Therefore $\tilde{y}_n \in (K(g_0, \alpha, A))_{(\alpha)}$. It is clear also that $w^* - \lim \tilde{y}_n = \tilde{z}$. Thus the proof of the theorem is complete.

Proof of Lemma 2. A. Let us suppose that the assertion of Lemma 2 is true for ordinal α . Let us show that it is true for $\alpha + 1$. Let us represent the set A as a countable union of pairwise disjoint infinite subsets, $A = \cup_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k$. Let $\varepsilon_i > 0$ ($i \in \mathbf{N}$) be such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i < \infty$. Let us introduce the sequence $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset Z^{**}$ by $g_n = \varepsilon_n f_{p(n)} + z_n^j$, where $p : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow A_0$ is some one-to-one mapping. Let $\Omega(g_n, \alpha, A_n)$ be the sets whose

existence follows from our assumption. Let us show that we can let $\Omega(g_0, \alpha + 1, A) = (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega(g_n, \alpha, A_n)) \cup \{g_0\}$. It is clear that 2) is satisfied.

Let us show that 1) is satisfied. In order to do this we will using transfinite induction show that for $\beta \leq \alpha + 1$ we have $(K(g_0, \alpha + 1, A))_{(\beta)} \subset \ker g_0$. Let us suppose that we prove this for certain $\beta \leq \alpha$. Let us derive from this assumption that $(K(g_0, \alpha + 1, A))_{(\beta+1)} \subset \ker g_0$. In order to do this we must prove that for any w^* -convergent sequence $\{\tilde{y}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset (K(g_0, \alpha + 1, A))_{(\beta)}$ its limit $\tilde{y} = w^* - \lim \tilde{y}_i$ belongs to $\ker g_0$. We have already noted that $\{\tilde{z}_n\}$ is w^* -basis in Z^* . Let us estimate coefficients $\{\alpha(i)_n^j\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ which are staying near vectors $\{\tilde{z}_n^j\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in the corresponding w^* -decomposition of $\tilde{y}_i$. It is clear that $\sup \|\tilde{y}_i\| = M_2 < \infty$. From $\beta \leq \alpha$ and induction hypothesis we obtain $g_n(\tilde{y}_i) = 0$. We have $g_n(\tilde{y}_i) = \alpha(i)_n^j + \varepsilon_n f_{p(n)}(\tilde{y}_i)$, hence

$$|\alpha(i)_n^j| \leq \varepsilon_n M_1 M_2 (n \in \mathbf{N}). \quad (2)$$

Therefore vector $\tilde{y}_i$ can be represented in the form $\tilde{y}_i = u_i + v_i$ in such a way that w^* -decomposition of u_i doesn't involve vectors $\tilde{z}_s^r$ and $\{\tilde{z}_n^j\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $v_i = a_i \tilde{z}_s^r + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha(i)_n^j \tilde{z}_n^j$. By (2) this series is strongly convergent. It is clear that w^* -convergence implies coordinatewise convergence, therefore it follows from (2) that $\tilde{y}$ also can be represented in the form $\tilde{y} = u + v$ in the same manner. So we have $v = w^* - \lim v_i$ and $u = w^* - \lim u_i$. From $u_i(\sum_{k=1}^m \gamma_k \tilde{z}_k^j + \delta \tilde{z}_s^r) = 0$ ($i \in \mathbf{N}$) and analogous equality for u which holds for all m , $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=1}^m$ and δ it follows that $g_0(u_i) = 0$, $g_0(u) = 0$. Since $\tilde{y}_i \in \ker g_0$ we have $v_i \in \ker g_0$.

Thus it is sufficient to prove that $v \in \ker g_0$. This assertion follows from $v = w^* - \lim v_i$; $v_i \in \ker g_0$ and the following two facts:

a) For every $i \in \mathbf{N}$ we have $v_i \in V := \{\tilde{z} \in Z^* : \tilde{z} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \tilde{z}_k^j + d \tilde{z}_s^r, |c_k| \leq \varepsilon_k M_1 M_2, |d| \leq M_2\}$ and the set V is compact in the strong topology.

b) Initial topology of compact space coincides with any other Hausdorff topology which is weaker than it [11, p. 249].

Therefore we proved that if $\beta \leq \alpha$ and $(K(g_0, \alpha + 1, A))_{(\beta)} \subset \ker g_0$ then

$$(K(g_0, \alpha + 1, A))_{(\beta+1)} \subset \ker g_0.$$

The case of limit ordinal is evident.

The proof of 3) follows immediately from the induction hypothesis and the following assertions, which can be easily verified:

(i) any vector of type (1) can be represented in form $b \tilde{z}_s^r + \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \tilde{z}_k^j + u_k$, where $u_k \in \text{lin}(\{\tilde{z}_l^t\}_{l=1, t \in A_k \cup \{p(k)\}}^{\infty})$.

(ii) if M contains all vectors of the type (1) from $\ker g_0$ then $M_{(1)}$ contains all vectors of the type (1).

(iii) if collection $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset \mathbf{R}$ and $b \in \mathbf{R}$ are such that $g_0(b \tilde{z}_s^r + \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \tilde{z}_k^j) = 0$ then we have $Q(b, g_0, \alpha + 1, A) \supset b \tilde{z}_s^r + \sum_{k=1}^m Q(a_k, g_k, \alpha, A_k)$.

(iv) for any finite collection of sets $M_i \subset Z^*, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and any ordinal α we have $(\sum_{i=1}^m M_i)_{(\alpha)} \supset \sum_{i=1}^m (M_i)_{(\alpha)}$.

B. The case in which α is a limit ordinal. By [11, p. 72] we can find an increasing sequence $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of ordinals for which α is a limit.

Let $\{A_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are the same as in A . By induction hypothesis we can find subsets $\Omega(g_n, \alpha_n, A_n) \subset Z^{**}$. The fact that $(\cup_{n=1}^\infty \Omega(g_n, \alpha_n, A_n)) \cup \{g_0\}$ is the desired set can be proved in the same way as in A with unique distinction that inequalities (2) are valid not for all n but for all except finite number of them.

Received January 19.1987.

Address: Institute for low Temperature Physics and Engineering

Ukrainian Academy of Sciences

47 Lenin avenue

Kharkov 310164 Ukraine

USSR.

REFERENCES

1. Mazurkiewicz S. Sur la derivee faible d'un ensemble de fonctionnelles lineaires, Stud. Math. 2. (1930), 68-71.
2. Banach S. Theorie des operations lineaires. Monografie Matematyczne. no. 1 (Warszawa, 1932).
3. McGehee O.C. A proof of a statement of Banach about the weak* topology, Mich. Math. J. 15 (1968), no. 2, 135-140.
4. Sarason D. On the order of a simply connected domain, Mich. Math. J. 15 (1968), no. 2, 129-133.
5. Sarason D. A remark on the weak-star topology of l^∞ , Stud. Math. 30 (1968), no. 3, 355-359.
6. Godun B.V. On weak* derived sets of transfinite order of sets of linear functionals. Sib. Mat. Zh. 18 (1977), no. 6, 1289-1295 (Russian).
7. Godun B.V. On weak* derived sets of sets of linear functionals. Mat. Zametki 23 (1978), no. 4, 607-616 (Russian).
8. Petunin Yu.I., Plichko A.N. The theory of characteristics of subspaces and its applications (Kiev, Vyshcha Shkola, 1980).
9. Davis W.J., Johnson W.B. Basic sequences and norming subspaces in non-quasi-reflexive Banach spaces, Isr. J. Math. 14 (1973), 353-367.
10. Singer I. Bases in Banach spaces, I (Berlin, Springer, 1970).
11. Aleksandrov P.S. Introduction to the set theory and general topology (Moscow, Nauka, 1977).

COMMENTARY BY THE AUTHOR (July, 1991).

I would like to say several words about history and applications of “long chains” of w^* -derived sets.

S.Mazurkiewicz [1] was the first who found a subspace $\Gamma \subset (c_0)^*$ for which $\Gamma_{(1)} \neq \Gamma_{(2)}$. S. Banach [2, p. 209] developed this construction and for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$ found a subspace $\Gamma \subset (c_0)^*$ for which $\Gamma_{(n)} \neq \Gamma_{(n+1)}$ and stated analogous result for any countable ordinal α . But S.Banach's proof of this result never appeared. This statement has been proved by O.C.McGehee [3]. (It is interesting to remark that O.C.McGehee used results from Fourier analysis.) At almost the same time D.Sarason (using the complex analysis) obtained analogous results for l_1 and L_1/H_1 [4, 5]. In [6] B.V.Godun observed that the length of the chain of different w^* -derived sets must be countable and cannot be equal

to a limit ordinal. In [7] B.V. Godun proved that for any nonquasireflexive separable Banach space X and any $n \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists a total subspace $\Gamma \subset X^*$ for which $\Gamma_{(n)} \neq X^*$.

The problem of existence of a total subspace $\Gamma \subset X^*$ for which $\Gamma_{(n)} \neq X^*$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ is turned out to be important in the theory of topological vector spaces [12]. This problem has been posed by V.B. Moscatelli at the Ninth Seminar (Poland - GDR) on Operator Ideals and Geometry of Banach spaces (Georgenthal, April, 1986) [13, Problem 17]. This problem is solved by the result of present paper (At the time of writing it the author had not any information about [12] and [13]) Independently and almost at the same time V.B. Moscatelli [14] proved that for any separable nonquasireflexive Banach space X there exists a subspace $\Gamma \subset X^*$ for which $\Gamma_{(\omega)} \neq X^*$ (where ω is the first infinite ordinal). In [15] V.B. Moscatelli obtained this result in more explicit form. The reader may consult [12, 15, 16] for applications of the “long chains” in the theory of topological vector spaces. In [17] “long chains” are used to answer Kalton’s question on universal biorthogonal systems. There are also certain applications of “long chains” in the theory of ill-posed problems, or, more precisely, in the problems of regularizability of inverses of injective continuous linear operators (see [8, 18, 19]).

Some connections of “long chains” with harmonic analysis are discussed in [20].

12. Dierolf S., Moscatelli V.B. A note on quojections, *Funct. et Approxim.* (1987), n. 17, 131-138.

13. Open Problems, Presented at the Ninth Seminar (Poland - GDR) on Operator Ideals and Geometry of Banach Spaces, Georgenthal, April, 1986, *Forschung. Friedrich - Schiller - Universitat, Jena, N/87/28*, 1987.

14. Moscatelli V.B. On strongly non-norming subspaces, *Note Mat.* 7 (1987), 311-314.

15. Moscatelli V.B. Strongly nonnorming subspaces and prequojections, *Studia Math.* 95 (1990), 249-254.

16. Metafune G., Moscatelli V.B. Quojections and prequojections, in: *Advances in the Theory of Frechet Spaces*, ed. by T. Terzioglu, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1989, 235-254.

17. Plicko A.N. On bounded biorthogonal systems in some function spaces, *Studia Math.* 84 (1986), 25-37.

18. Ostrovskii M.I. Pairs of regularizable inverse linear operators with nonregularizable superposition, *J. Soviet Math.* 52 (1990), no. 5, 3403-3410.

19. Ostrovskii M.I., Regularizability of superpositions of inverse linear operators, *Teor. Funktsii, Funktsional. Anal i Prilozhen.* 55 (1991), 96-100 (in Russian). Engl. transl.: *J. Soviet Math.* 59 (1992), no. 1, 652-655.

20. Katznelson Y., McGehee O.C. Some sets obeying harmonic synthesis, *Israel J. Math.* 23 (1976), no. 1, 88-93,